

	Comment	Officer Response
1	Difficult for carers to park. Would not be possible to afford. Why should I pay for marking in front of my own driveway!	<p>The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
2	There is not problem parking here	<p>The proposals have been developed in response to a petition from residents for the introduction of parking controls. The problems have been identified by these residents and the consultation initiated accordingly.</p> <p>The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward.</p>
3	Bus employees should stop parking	A CPZ is a package of measures aimed at prioritising parking for residents, businesses and their visitors by discouraging commuter and long stay parking by people from outside the area. With fewer vehicles parked in the

	Comment	Officer Response
		road following the introduction of a CPZ, a reduction is expected in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.
4	<p>I have lived on this road for many years. The only problem with parking has been that recently, the bus drivers from pinner road bus garage have started parking in our road. This has ONLY occurred after other parking schemes on local roads have pushed them further out onto our road. I have just spoken at length with the bus garage manger. He told me they're currently creating around 40+ staff parking spaces on their site, that he is confident will resolve the local parking issues. It will be ready by November Therefore, there is no need whatsoever to install residents parking schemes in this part of the road, as the bus garage staff problem that is causing the problem, will be resolved. We're currently able to park one car outside each house (plus more cars on our drives if needed). The parking scheme that was introduced further down our road reduced the parking spaces by 50% as only one car space was allocated between two houses, when there used to be room for two cars. That pushes a lot of residents to park on their front gardens when they were able to park on the road. I am also highly concerned that if residents parking permits were introduced, it would encourage more people to park on their drives, paving over more front gardens and increasing rainwater run-off/flooding. This are is already highly prone to flooding. Moving more cars off the road and onto green front gardens goes completely against all environmental sense. If the bus drivers parking is being resolved anyway, it will be totally unnecessary to introduce this unenvironmental scheme.</p>	<p>With fewer vehicles parked in the road following the introduction of a CPZ, a reduction is expected in the number of parked vehicles. Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents</p>
5	<p>The road is so difficult to find parking, people leave their cars on this road for weeks on end. I live on the bend and it's so dangerous getting in or off my drive, even big lorries find it difficult transversing this bend. There have been several accidents all due to people parking inconsiderately. The road is so noisy as well as everyone uses it to park their cars. Even the local garages park cars on Canterbury Road. We the local residents nickname it the Canterbury road car park. The situation has got</p>	<p>Comments in support noted.</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
	<p>progressively worse and a lot of the residents have got rid of their front gardens so they can have off street parking, but this has not solved the problem. We do not recognise vehicles that are parked on this road when there is a problem with the vehicle i.e car alarms constantly going off early in the mornings. I would definitely welcome permit parking in the whole road now. In addition the fact that Canterbury Rd is only partially controlled zoned and all the other surrounding roads are fully controlled zoned this had made the parking problem worse. Either you have all the road or none controlled zoned.</p>	
6	<p>We need the parking spaces on the road. Making it a controlled parking space would mean less parking spacing for multiple cars (2 cars per household) and there extra cost for permits per households, which i disapprove . The bus drivers and people working nearby would park during the day also because the other zones have controlled spaces. The recent news is the bus drivers will be getting a parking space on site of their bus garage. This would help with any spaces taken up. The best solution is to remove the controlled zones in other parts of the area. This would help everyone with car parking spaces on Canterbury road.</p>	<p>With fewer vehicles parked in the road following the introduction of a CPZ, a reduction is expected in the number of parked vehicles encroaching on the restrictions. Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents. It is the case that once CPZs are introduced the Council receive very few, if any, requests for them to be removed, in fact it is often the case that residents ask for longer operational hours to be investigated.</p>
7	<p>This can't come soon enough! We've been asking for permit parking for Canterbury Road between Durham Road and Pinner View FOR YEARS! Our road is horrifically busy every single day. Having friends and family over as visitors during the week is a nightmare as they can never find a parking space. The same goes for having repair people over to the house. Non-residents have taken every single parking space whilst they go to work. The bus garage workers from Pinner Road are some of the biggest culprits for leaving their cars down our road all day whilst they go to work. PLEASE give us a controlled parking zone!</p>	<p>Comments in support noted</p>
8	<p>I believe the scheme would actually reduce the number of spaces available. Also the main problem appears to be bus drivers parking in the</p>	<p>With fewer vehicles parked in the road following the introduction of a CPZ, a reduction is expected in the number of parked vehicles encroaching on</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
	road, an issue that will shortly be resolved by dedicated parking being provided very soon by the bus garage	the restrictions marked at junctions and volumes. Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.
9	<p>Whilst introducing a CPZ in Canterbury Road would probably be nice for residents in that road, the commuters driving here to use nearby tube stations will not just disappear.</p> <p>Force commuters off of Canterbury Road will just move the problem elsewhere. It'll be other nearby roads roads, such as Southfield Park, Kingsfield Avenue, Allington Road and us here in Apsley Close that will bear the brunt of the commuter parking.</p> <p>Secondly, bus drivers from the nearby Sovereign garage in Pinner Road use the residential roads to the north to park - do we really want to make things worse for them, considering they're driving our local buses?</p>	The possible transfer of parking from one road to another is not a material consideration when developing proposals in response to residents' petition. The Council only act to address problems that actually persist, introducing parking schemes in roads where no problems are evident may
10	<p>It has been so difficult to find a car parking space due to the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Bus drivers have been parking their cars from 5:30am to late afternoon. Our road is the only road near to the Pinner Road Bus depot, where free parking is available. 2. Drivers living in the council estate in the alleyway between 141-143 Canterbury Road have been parking their cars on our road. 3. Some residents living on our road have more than 2 cars and consuming parking spaces, thus our visitors find it difficult to find a space to park. 4. We have noticed big vans parking on our road for more than 4 weeks who do not live on our road. 5. We have also noticed that drivers who do not live on our road park their car blocking our driveway and find it difficult to get out of our 	The proposals will act to remove non-resident parking, freeing up space for residents and their visitors.

	Comment	Officer Response
	driveway. In some instances some of the residents have to call for traffic wardens to issue them a fine ticket.	
11	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Many large cars such as vans taking up spaces and blocking access and view to cross road. - Guests and residents finding it difficult to park as workers travelling into train station/ work parking instead. - People from other roads parking too. - Inconsiderate parking. Partially blocking drive for hours - Seems unfair that majority of roads have this permit in place, and we dont. - When they did half of canterbury road with permit, i responded during that consultation that the other side would be impacted. I was ignored and now here you are wasting council (peoples) money again for this consultation for this part of road. 	Comments in support noted, the proposals will act to remove non-resident parking freeing up space for residents and their visitors.
12	I live in the middle of Canterbury road and i have been struggling with the parking problem. The road is absolutely packed in the day and very often my driveway is half blocked with car. Our visitors struggle to find a space.	Comments noted, the proposals would act to remove non-resident parking freeing up space for residents and their visitors.
13	This would be more beneficial to include weekends too at the same time	The proposals have been consulted on in response to include the section of Canterbury Road not in the existing CPZ and not to extend the operational hours of the CPZ.
14	<p>There are many cars that park on the road for days, weeks or months on end. People also often park inconsiderately which then makes it difficult to safely come out of the driveway.</p> <p>I would really like to try and stop people being able to park for more than 1 day at a time so I support the CPZ.</p>	Comments in support noted.
15	Parking restrictions are not at all necessary as we do not have problems at the moment and new proposed restrictions will be inconvenient to the resident and costly. Why should we pay to park outside our house when other nearby councils provide FREE passes to the residents!! This is just another play by Harrow Council to make more money!!!!	The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward

	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
16	<p>We have lived here for over 40 yrs own our house and don't believe we should have to pay to park outside it. By all means put in restrictions but do not charge residents.</p>	<p>The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
17	<p>We live on the road and have not experienced parking issues. i am not sure where you are collecting your information or is it money another money-generating scheme. we are already happy as we are - leave us alone. please delete Canterbury road proposed plan really not required.</p>	<p>The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
		<p>option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
18	<p>The council keep trying to enforce parking restrictions on this road. Please stop. This is the 3rd (or maybe more) time a similar consultation has taken place over our time as residents in the area. Please do not enforce any parking restrictions beyond what is already there. Thank you.</p>	<p>The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
19	<p>Even with parking bays there will not be enough parking for visitors who are able to park across the dropped kerb at the moment.</p>	<p>Within a CPZ all kerbside space is controlled either by a parking bay or by waiting restrictions it is usual to introduce zone time single yellow lines</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
	Also,I will not be able to utilise the space in front of my house e.g. for visiting engineers ,gardeners, builders etc.	across driveways. Some authorities introduce parking bays across driveways, but this has proved counterproductive as anyone with a valid permit would be eligible to park there and this has led to instances of householders not being able to access or exit their property by being blocked in or out by lawfully parked vehicles. The restrictions would be time limited, outside the operational hours parking can occur as it does at present, except on any new “at any time” double yellow lines
20	Not interested in imposing new rules as happy with current situation.	Comments noted.
21	<p>I was prepared to consider CPZ as a viable scheme as many bus drivers park here instead of at their garage, which puts pressure on the spaces available.</p> <p>However I have lost faith in Harrow Councils ability to implement a CPZ sensibly and now feel that it is better not to have one here.</p> <p>I have seen how CPZ schemes practically halve the number of parking spaces available, by marking out spaces between dropped curbs, as single parking spaces where previously there may have been space for 2 cars. Also I have heard that yellow lines will be put down in front of dropped curves which is inflexible and does not allow guests to park in front of a driveway at the owners discretion.</p>	<p>Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.</p> <p>Within a CPZ all kerbside space is controlled either by a parking bay or by waiting restrictions it is usual to introduce zone time single yellow lines across driveways. For your information, some authorities introduce parking bays across driveways, but this has proved counterproductive as anyone with a valid permit would be eligible to park there and this has led to instances of householders not being able to access or exit their property by being blocked in or out by lawfully parked vehicles. The restrictions would be time limited, outside the operational hours parking can occur as it does at present, except on any new “at any time” double yellow lines</p>
22	<p>There is no necessity for a controlled parking zone as there is enough parking in the street.</p> <p>The proposal would reduce overall parking spaces as observed in other similar situations where the space for two cars becomes one.</p> <p>The cost to residents is unacceptable.</p>	<p>Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.</p>
23	Parking for residents has become increasingly difficult over the past few years and whilst many of us have paid to have dropped curbs in order	The introduction of the proposals would bring this section of Canterbury Road in line with the rest of the CPZ. This would allow residents to park in

	Comment	Officer Response
	<p>that we can park on our driveways, other road users park their cars right up to and including slightly over the beginning of the dropped curbs, making it difficult for residents to turn their cars from the driveway onto the road. Some cars are parked like this for several days before being moved by their owners. I believe many of these car owners to be residents from neighbouring roads which are CPZ's and the resident would rather park for free in Canterbury Road rather than pay for a permit.</p>	<p>a wider area during the operational hours and reduce the number of non-residents accessing the area looking for parking spaces.</p>
24	<p>We have been residents for over 20 years. This is the worst period for parking we've experienced. Controlled parking elsewhere in the vicinity means that every available space is taken on a weekday, with parking over driveways an everyday event. This, plus the visual blocking off that entails, often makes moving into the road at best very difficult and at worse dangerous. Local residents frequently have no spaces for their own vehicles, whilst the immediate vicinity has become a 'popular' space for non-residents to leave (or even 'dump') vehicles for several weeks or longer without fear of a parking fine. We absolutely support and need the proposal.</p>	<p>Comments noted. The introduction of CPZ will reduce levels of non-residential parking freeing up space for residents</p>
25	<p>I am already in a horrible situation because I cannot get a dropped kerb due to a tree planted by the council few years ago and the council doesn't even want to know the inconvenience its causing me and my family.</p> <p>It's a terrible situation to be in after you buy your first home and realise you cannot get a driveway due to lack of planning and maintenance by the council. A tree planted by the council is then the duty of the council to ensure it doesn't cause inconvenience to its residents. All I want is a simple small driveway nothing extravagant like a basement swimming pool.</p> <p>At present I have to park on the road as and when I find a spot, sometimes 15 to 20 houses away from mine. Doesn't help on a rainy day or when you need to offload grocery or have elders visiting home. where most of the councillors are privileged enough to park on their driveway</p>	<p>The proposal was initiated by a residents' petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents' preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward. The consultation is not a compulsory public vote officers can only assess based on the answers of residents who responded to the consultation but they (nor the portfolio holder) can infer any intention from those who elected not to respond other than that they are indifferent as to the result.</p> <p>The government requires all Councils to ensure that their Controlled Parking Zones are self-financing. Revenues are raised through combination</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
	<p>few feet away from their door step.</p> <p>My dream to get an electric car and help the global environmental crises will remain a dream only as I cannot have a charging point extended across the streets not know where I will park next. So much for the 2030 low carbon vision.</p> <p>Hence if the street becomes a controlled zone, I will also have to pay for parking in addition of being denied a driveway which is adding insult to injury.</p> <p>Hence don't want the controlled zone parking. Shame on the council in scheming to make money by causing inconvenience to its residents.</p>	<p>of revenues from enforcement and money from the sale of the various types of permits. The precedent for charging is long established and operates across the Country.</p>
26	<p>My neighbours find it EXTREMELY difficult to find space as there are many large trucks that park on this road for sometimes a week at a time. There have been many instances where these vehicles have obstructed sloped parts of the kerbs on both sides, without caring about access to our driveway.</p> <p>On this related note, can you please update https://www.harrow.gov.uk/parking-permits/report-illegal-parking to be clearer that any part of the car obstructing the sloped area can be ticketed? We had this happen recently and raised a report since it was obstructing our driveway, but the officer that arrived said this is not ticketable. A diagram such as the one on https://www.drivingtesttips.biz/dropped-kerb-parking.html would really help.</p>	<p>Parking bays are introduced leaving a clear space between the bay boundary and the start of any dropped kerbs, the dropped area is then protected by single yellow lines during the operational hours of the zone. Whilst this prevents non-residents parking it also means that residents are not able to park across their driveways</p>
27	<p>I would fully support restrictions. Parking has become impossible. Some residents have three or four cars so I think they should pay for the permits. Often, I cannot exit my driveway safely due to others parking over driveways.</p>	<p>Comments in support noted.</p>
28	<p>Do not want to be included in CPZ(NH1) as it would cut down the number of available parking space for all hours. I would not be able to park in front of my own drive at any time</p>	<p>Within a CPZ all kerbside space is controlled either by a parking bay or by waiting restrictions it is usual to introduce zone time single yellow lines across driveways. For your information, some authorities introduce parking bays across driveways, but this has proved counterproductive as</p>

	Comment	Officer Response
		anyone with a valid permit would be eligible to park there and this has led to instances of householders not being able to access or exit their property by being blocked in or out by lawfully parked vehicles. The restrictions would be time limited, outside the operational hours parking can occur as it does at present, except on any new “at any time” double yellow lines
29	I do not want to change the current parking situation and I’d like to leave it as it is. I wouldn’t even be able to park in front of my own drive.	Within a CPZ all kerbside space is controlled either by a parking bay or by waiting restrictions it is usual to introduce zone time single yellow lines across driveways. For your information, some authorities introduce parking bays across driveways, but this has proved counterproductive as anyone with a valid permit would be eligible to park there and this has led to instances of householders not being able to access or exit their property by being blocked in or out by lawfully parked vehicles. The restrictions would be time limited, outside the operational hours parking can occur as it does at present, except on any new “at any time” double yellow lines
30	Since the introduction of CPZ in lower Canterbury Road and Pinner View, the Upper Canterbury Road area got very congested for parking. Some commuters leave their vehicles for weeks. This makes it difficult to find a parking space near their house for residents and their visitors.	Comments noted. The introduction of CPZ will reduce levels of non-residential parking freeing up space for residents
31	Unnecessary and unsightly. There is sufficient parking space. Please utilise resources more efficiently.	The proposal was initiated by a residents’ petition. The purpose of the public consultation is to gain an understanding of residents’ preference for a course of action. As with any consultation there will be respondents who have conflicting opinions. When offered a choice of options the option that receives a majority of support will be recommended to be taken forward. Officers assess the responses and report these to the ward councillors and portfolio-holder who makes a decision as to the way forward.
32	The area under consideration is often used by vehicles from the surrounding controlled zones and vehicles can be left for days and sometimes weeks on end, making it difficult or impossible for visitor and relatives to park anywhere near one's property.	Comments noted. The introduction of CPZ will reduce levels of non-residential parking freeing up space for residents

	Comment	Officer Response
33	The uncontrolled portion of Canterbury Road is parked full of non-residents daily. Our visitors struggle to park, especially our disabled visitors, and carers. Our driveway is usually blocked by drivers parking inconsiderately. A lot of times the road is difficult to use due to the many cars parked on both sides of the road. Parking controls are urgently needed to avoid the excessive car park use by non-residents and to reduce pollution in the road.	Comments noted. The introduction of CPZ will reduce levels of non-residential parking freeing up space for residents
34	I am 50 yards from existing NH1 Zone Boundary. I have found that Commuters/ Office Workers/ Shoppers wishing to park for North Harrow Station/ Offices/ Shops select my House area first when looking for a Parking Space in Canterbury Road. It is unrestricted and nearer! Also, I have observed that most Cars stay for the whole Business Day.. My Neighbour Number 95 and my 97 are semi-detached Houses. We have proven that two Cars fit OK adjacent on Canterbury Road with no Access Problems to shared Drives, etc. I EXPECT TO SEE TWO (2) SUCH SPACES MARKED OUT FOR PARKING, AS ACCEPTED USAGE PRESENTLY..	Comments noted. The introduction of CPZ will reduce levels of non-residential parking freeing up space for residents. Parking bays are only marked where it is safe and appropriate to park. This can sometimes mean that the existing pattern of parking is not replicated. As a result, it is sometimes possible, especially in small side roads, that the number of bays marked might represent a fall in parking capacity. However, this fall must be balanced against the reduction in the number of parked vehicles belonging to non-residents.
35	The issue should be given to the local bus garage not to the local residents to pay to park their cars. If you sort the parking for bus drivers you'll sort out issue	There are reports that the bus garage will be initiating off street parking for its employees.